2.44		
1 2 3 4	WILLIAM W. McGAHA, ESQ. Nevada Bar #3234 SCHUETZE & McGAHA, P.C. 601 S. Rancho Drive, Suite C-20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 (702) 369-3225 wwm@smlvlaw.net	
5 6 7 8	Nevada Bar # 3821	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10		
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
14 15	DIANA ROBERTS, Plaintiff,	CASE: 2:16-cv-02395-JCM-GWF
16 17 18	MANDALAY CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation., as the operator of the Mandalay Bay Resort. Defendant.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DATE FOR FILING REPLY BRIEFS TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FILED BY EACH PARTY
19	Delendant.	
20		(FIRST REQUEST TO EXTEND DATE FOR FILING REPLY BRIEFS ON MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY
21		JUDGMENT FILED NOVEMBER 29, 2017)
22		
23		
24	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties' counsel or	
25	record that the Deadline to reply to Responses filed on December 20, 2017 be extended in this	
26	case as follows:	
27	1 That on November 29, 2017	a Motion for Summary Judgment was filed by the

28

Plaintiff and by the Defendant on liability issues. An opposition brief to each Motion was filed by the parties on December 20, 2017 and that as a result the current deadline for filing Reply briefs to each opposition is January 3, 2018.

- The parties have conferred and agreed that subject to approval of the Court, the Reply deadline be extended to January 17, 2018.
- 3. This Request is for extension of the Reply to Responses to Dispositive Motions filed on November 29, 2017 only. The request is made in good faith and is not done for purposes of delaying the proceedings. The parties provide the following information to the Court as the basis of the request:
- GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE EXTENSION.

The parties are asking that this Court extend the Reply deadline by the additional 13 days as additional time is needed for drafting replies to Responses to Motions for Summary Judgment and Partial Summary Judgment. The Responses were filed on December 20, 2017 and all counsel have been out of the office for the holidays. That the parties need the additional 13 days to put together appropriate Replies to the Responses filed and present those to the Court. That the parties have been diligent in their discovery and that the additional time is needed to address the issues in this case.

1	6. DISCOVERY HAS BEEN COMPLETED.		
2	Based upon the foregoing, the undersigned counsel for an on behalf of the respective		
3	parties believe that there is good cause to extend the Reply Deadlineto Dispositive Motions		
4	filed on November 29, 2017 to January 17, 2018 and therefore request the additional 13 day		
5	extension.		
6	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED:		
7	DATED this 2nd day of January, 2018 DATED this 2nd day of January, 2018		
8	SCHUETZE & McGAHA, P.C. LINCOLN, GUSTAFSON & CEROS		
9			
10	By <u>/s/ William W. McGaha, Esq.</u> WILLIAM W. McGAHA, ESQ. By <u>/s/ Karissa Mack, Esq.</u> KARISSA MACK, ESQ.		
11	Nevada Bar #3234 Nevada Bar No.		
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Las Vegas, NV 12331		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant		
14			
15	DATED this 2nd day of January, 2018		
16			
17	By /s/ Kendall Steele, Esq. KENDALL STEELE, ESQ.		
18	Nevada Bar #3821 3300 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2600		
19	Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorney for Plaintiff		
20	ORDER		
21	BASED UPON THE MOTION BY THE PLAINTIFF AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,		
22	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT THE REPLY DEADLINE IS HEREBY EXTENDED TO		
23	JANUARY 17, 2018.		
24	DATED January 3, 2018.		
25			
26	Xerris C. Mahan		
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
28			